some other devices to allow water, could you describe what those are?

Those are openings in the wall and the openings are perhaps three or four inches in diameter, which is designed or the intent was for water to leak out.

Q Are they also referred to as weep holes?

A That term is also used.

Q Do you recall, approximately, how many of those weep holes existed in the wall?

A I would guess but I don't recall I don't have any photos handy but I think it is probably six or eight wholes in the wall, I don't recall any in the block wall.

Q Ordinarily, when constructing a wall of this size, are those weep holes installed during the original construction or are they something that would have come later?

A It was my feeling by looking at this wall that work was done later, simply because it was not done in the left three thirds of the wall as you look in from the Lafayette side but only on the side where they seem to be some

corrective work to the atoma wall;

Q Corrective work meaning the the--

A The weep holes and tie rods that we saw within the stone wall.

Q Could you describe for the Court the upper portion of the wall and what you observed from the 5 Huguenot Drive side of the property?

A I know that first going into the property I noted that the driveway surface was fairly young, it looked new.

At the end of the driveway is the wall and the inside surface has been coated with stucko. I observed that this were no cracks in stucko and no separation.

About three to five feet from the wall there were wheel stops, concrete devices to prevent cars going too far forward and the edge of the or the surface of the asphalt has been contoured to divert the water from that area as you are looking down the driveway to the right of the yard.

The left side of that wall has a concrete top aged undetermined, the block wall had been recently resurfaced with stucko and there were no cracks or signs of movement.

What effect, if the space the resealing or repaving of the driveway have on the wall? Well several benefits obviously, Α it's easier to drive in, it is more convenient for purposes but in this particular case it helped seal the soil and diminish the amount of water that will get behind the wall. What effect, if any, does the contouring, as you put it, of the driveway toward the wall --I would think that the intent is to take that water and divert it to side of the wall or side yard where there is less of an impact on that wall. With regard to the wheel stops, what purpose do they serve? Well, again, probably several purposes. One of them would be to prevent a car from hitting the wall and the other is to keep vehicles away from the wall, a reasonable distance. You testified that there was a 0 fairly new stucko coating on the wall as well?

Yes.

What, if any, purpose does that

Ά

*

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The purpose I think was to act as-well, again, there are several reasons for that
being done, the aesthetics obviously but it also
acts as a water seal to some degree.

Q Mr. Annunziata, you testified and again, I don't recall what word you used but you testified that you observed a shifting, some shifting in the wall?

A Yes, the upper wall, yes.

Q Is that right?

A Yes.

Q Do you have an opinion as to when that shifting took place?

That is hard to judge but I am of the opinion that it has not happened in quite some time. Again, I can't tell if there were repairs done using the steel plates, the rod, the deadman correct that or that was part of the original design and this was some movement that stabilized that after but my guess is that it's quite some time back and I would say probably fifteen years.

Q Is it possible for you to indicate to the Court whether it's more possible than not that those steel plates and deadman were installed

during the original construction of Affai as a reparative measure?

A I thought about that several times and in my original opinion it was probably the original construction but the more I think about it and go over there and look at it, it was done as a corrective measure later on but in either case, it was to try to keep this wall from moving.

Q As a result of your visit to the site and your inspection and evaluation, do you have an opinion today, Mr. Annunziata with regard to the structural stability of first the stone wall below?

A Yes, I consider the condition to be stable.

Q What is your opinion as to the structural stability of the top portion of the block wall?

A I think it's stable.

Q I don't think anybody has testified about this but could you describe for the Court when building a wall of that type, and I'm referring to that stone wall below, does it just sit on top of the ground or something else?

A Usually, the stones are further

below the grade and they are larger and thicker are then they just build up, slowly almost like a narrow pyramid.

Q What is the purpose of building in that --

A The weight of the stone, which is quite heavy, is ment to retain the soil behind it and I guess the density of the wall is also part of the intent of holding the soil behind.

Q Mr. Annunziata, over the course of your career, as a professional engineer, civil engineer, how many occasions would you say, estimate for us, that you have inspected or been involved in the design of a retaining wall?

Dozens and I looked at walls that needed repair. I looked at walls that should have been taken down a long time ago. I designed walls so they would not fail and part of the general work I do is the consulting work, I am involved with things such as retaining walls.

This wall is a very, very old wall, I suspect it was done on the property of 5
Huguenot, which is old. So, it is just one of those walls that stood for god knows how many years, seventy-five, eighty-five years, it's an old wall.

THE COURT: I have two points of

further, Your Honor.

picture of wall. You described the stone wall as a gravity wall; is that correct and then could you define that for me?

information to clear in my own mind for the

A Yes, it's the weight of the wall is pulled by gravity. It is such a heavy substance that it sits there and resists forces.

Now a days, walls like that are pretty expensive to build and generally they are not done but in areas of Larchmont where there was so much stone excavated, they used the stone on the site to build the wall and just by the heavy weight, gravity of it, they lasted.

THE COURT: If you are standing in the Huguenot Drive property and facing the wall, what is the height of wall that is visible to you as you are standing in the driveway.

A I would say two and a half feet, three feet and it's the block portion that

ls viäthis that is covered with arus THE COURT: Mrs. Fiore, cross 2 examination? 3 MRS. FIORE: Yes. 4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MRS. FIORE: 5 If you are standing in the driveway 6 of 5 Huguenot, you can't see the stone wall; am I 7 misunderstood? 8 Well, in the immediate area you 9 can't see. Again, looking from the driveway down 10 you could see the stone portion on the right side 11 but on left side you could see the stucko portion. 12 Because it's a tapered wall, is 13 that what you are saying? 14 It's not perfectly level. 15 Does the driveway intersect at the 16 most left portion of the wall or somewhere else? 17 Say that again. 18 The driveway, does it intersept O 19

with the wall on the far left side or somewhere else?

20

21

22

23

24

25

Where the driveway meets the block wall as well as the concrete wall on the left as you are looking down the driveway.

Did you have an opportunity and I

	don't recall from	your testimony, to observe the
2	wall from the Laf	ayette side?
3	A Y	es.
4		here were you standing with
5	respect of the La	fayette property when you observed
6	the wall?	
7	A 1	actually moved quite bit because
8	I took three phot	os and I looked at it from the
9	side part where t	the wall was concrete all the way
10	over to the other	side and I actually walked along
11	the lower portion	n of the wall.
12	Q 1	How much of the stone wall is
13	visible where we	re you standing on the Lafayette
14	side?	
15	A	Except for whatever is below grade,
16	the rest of the	wall is visible.
17	Q	The entire structure?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	How high is the wall at that point?
20	A	I would guess fifteen feet, twelve
21	feet.	
22	Q	Including the second concrete block
23	portion?	
2 4	A	Just the stone and then the upper
25	portion is four	or five.

ton lastified that the wait page probably very old? 2 My quess. 3 \mathbf{A} Is the adjacent property, the home Q 4 is constructed on also very, very old? 5 The stone part, I would say was 6 original to that property. 7 Do you know, approximately, how old 8 is the property? 9 By the age of the house, I would 10 quess around a 1920 house. 11 You don't know for sure? 12 No, not for sure but the Α 13 architecture and location, probably 1920. 14 So, the wall would have been 15 constructed probably some point in time --16 That would be my guess, the same as 17 the house. 18 Did you notice any water damage on 19 the stone portion of the wall? 20 One of the times I was there was 21 A wetness but I don't know about water damage. 22 Is that common in stone walls? 23 0 Yes. Α 24 If that condition is left 25 Q

happens? 2 Say that again. 3 In your experience, what happens if 4 these corrected conditions are left uncorrected or 5 unrepaired? 6 It all depends also on the 7 conditions that occur behind the wall, the 8 thickness of the wall. 9 How thick is this wall? 10 I don't know exactly because there 11 is no way of actually measuring it but on the left 12 hand side, it's about two feet at the top. My guess 13 is at bottom of that stone wall, it's about four 14 feet or maybe more. 15 How many cracks did you observe? 16 I recall one crack and there may 17 be-- well three is an another crack on the right 18 hand side as you look from Lafayette past the 19 20 corner. Two cracks that you observed? 21 Q Yes. 22 You testified and again I'm not 23 sure of the terminology but there were most likely 24

uncorrected in stonessile, in your warstished,

Case 7:08-cv-04703-SCR Document 1:

rod reinforcement?

25